Curbing herbicide leaching: Results from latest studies on black-grass control

 <u>Resistance tests on black-grass seeds collected in</u> previous crop so resistance can be quantified

Water conditioners – how much do these help?

• Field trials to explore scope for reducing the rate of propyzamide following effective use of clethodim



Stephen Moss Consulting





Effect of water conditioner (X-Change) on efficacy of clethodim against black-grass in OSR



4 OSR field trials (2019 - 21): 12 main treatments

- Untreated
- Propyzamide @500, 750 & 850 g/ha (as AstroKerb)
- Clethodim @ 120 g/ha (+/- water conditioner) (as Centurion Max)
- Clethodim f b Propyzamide @500, 750 & 850 g/ha
- Clethodim f b Carbetamide @1800 g/ha
- Clethodim f b Propyzamide 500 g/ha + Carbetamide 900 g/ha

Clethodim applied between 17 Sept and 16 October

Propyzamide & Carbetamide applied between 19 November and 1 December



Twyford OSR trial: black-grass on 22 Jan 2021





Clethodim (120 g/ha) followed by reduced rate Propyzamide (500 g/ha)

Essendon trial 17 April 2020





Challenges to getting farmers to use less propyzamide

- 1. More complex and time-consuming to manage; the 'inconvenience' factor.
- 2. Increased costs, especially if no reduction in herbicide use is achieved.
- 3. Risky; control levels more variable and less predictable than with herbicides.
- 4. Less effective than herbicides
- 5. More expensive than herbicides for the level of control achieved.
- 6. Higher labour requirement; availability and cost implications.
- 7. Lack of appropriate equipment or trained employees.
- 8. Little visible evidence of immediate success.
- 9. Risky for farm agronomist/consultant, so reluctance to recommend.

- **10. Less return for supplier of herbicides,** so reluctance to recommend.
- 11. No compensation following control failure (more likely with herbicides).
- 12. May have adverse environmental effects (e.g. soil erosion after intensive cultivations).
- 13. Harder physical effort compared with spraying (e.g. hoe versus knapsack sprayer).
- 14. Short term priorities; reluctance to commit to longterm strategies.
- 15. Complacency; belief that new herbicides will solve existing problems.
- 16. Dependency on favourable weather (e.g. for alternative crops or delayed sowing).

Integrated weed management (IWM): why are farmers reluctant to adopt non-chemical alternatives to herbicides? Stephen Moss (2019) Pest Management Science 75: 1205–1211





Issues / Questions



- 1. How big a problem is propyzamide in water nationally/regionally?
- 2. How much of the problem is point source v movement through/over soil?
- 3. Are there agronomic methods or chemicals that would reduce leaching risk?
- 4. How can farmers be persuaded to reduce use of propyzamide, at least in high leaching risk areas or where black-grass populations are low?
- 5. Importantly, this decision can wait until the degree of control from clethodim is evident can drones help in this decision making?
- 6. Are reduced rate <u>sequential</u> (Oct & Dec) applications of propyzamide a realistic option? (Could reduce overall use <u>and</u> 'spread the load').
- 7. Are there implications for herbicide resistance risk?
- 8. How effective? Cost savings? BLW issues?

